

2005 Pavement Performance Prediction  
Symposium

Adhesion and Cohesion of  
Asphalt in Pavement

Cheyenne, Wyoming  
June 22 – 24, 2005

Laird E. Weishahn, PE

Nebraska Department of Roads



# STPD-43-2(106)

NE Highway #43

Adams to Bennett

- Let to Contract = January 13<sup>th</sup> , 2000
- Length of Project = Approximately 15.0 mi.
- SP-2 Superpave Mixture = 51,400. tons
- PG 58-28 Binder = 2,700. tons
- Mill 1½" existing & replace with 3½" SP-2
- ADT = 2,045 with 9% Trucks

# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$



# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$
- Fine Aggregate Angularity = Min. of 40.0



# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$
- Fine Aggregate Angularity = Min. of 40.0
- VMA = Min. of 14.0%



# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$
- Fine Aggregate Angularity = Min. of 40.0
- VMA = Min. of 14.0%
- VFA = 65% to 78%



# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$
- Fine Aggregate Angularity = Min. of 40.0
- VMA = Min. of 14.0%
- VFA = 65% to 78%
- Dust / Binder Ratio = 0.6 to 1.2



# Our SP-2 Superpave Mixture?

- SGC # of Gyration: (0.3 -1 ESALs)
  - $N_{ini.} = 7$
  - $N_{des} = 76$
  - $N_{max} = 117$
- Fine Aggregate Angularity = Min. of 40.0
- VMA = Min. of 14.0%
- VFA = 65% to 78%
- Dust / Binder Ratio = 0.6 to 1.2
- PG Binder % = 5.0%

# SP-2 Mixture QC Results



# SP-2 Mixture QC Results

PG Binder % = 5.1%



# SP-2 Mixture QC Results

PG Binder % = 5.1%

FAA = 42.1%



# SP-2 Mixture QC Results

PG Binder % = 5.1%

FAA = 42.1%

VMA = 14.4%



# SP-2 Mixture QC Results

PG Binder % = 5.1%

FAA = 42.1%

VMA = 14.4%

VFA = 75.3%



# SP-2 Mixture QC Results

PG Binder % = 5.1%

FAA = 42.1%

VMA = 14.4%

VFA = 75.3%

Air Voids = 3.6%



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa
- RTFO Dynamic Shear = 2.521 KPa



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa
- RTFO Dynamic Shear = 2.521 KPa
- PAV Dynamic Shear = 4100. KPa



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa
- RTFO Dynamic Shear = 2.521 KPa
- PAV Dynamic Shear = 4100. KPa
- PAV Creep Stiffness = 220. MPa



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa
- RTFO Dynamic Shear = 2.521 KPa
- PAV Dynamic Shear = 4100. KPa
- PAV Creep Stiffness = 220. MPa
- PAV m – value = 0.305



# PG58-28 Test Results

Average of 24 Field Samples

- Original DSR = 1.050 KPa
- RTFO Dynamic Shear = 2.521 KPa
- PAV Dynamic Shear = 4100. KPa
- PAV Creep Stiffness = 220. MPa
- PAV m – value = 0.305

Note: 1 sample failed PAV Specifications



# Dates of Construction

- May and June of 2000



July Arrives  
with  
HOT Temperatures!!



# July Arrives with HOT Temperatures!!

Calls are coming in to our office asking us to come out and watch the project's wheel paths turn dark, as the asphalt is flushing to the surface!!



# Pictures of Hwy 43











# What's Next?



# What's Next?

This project was “tied” to 2 other  
State Highway Spur overlays.

A Change Order/ Supplemental Agreement  
was approved to require the use of

**PG 64-22**

binder for the other 2 locations.

**No flushing occurred on these 2 projects.**



# What's Next?

We cold milled ½” of the surface off of Hwy 43 and to date the surface has not flushed again.

We applied a 1” OGFC on a 3 mile segment for test and evaluation in 2002. It is performing as expected.



The investigation begins....



# The investigation begins....

- Samples were provided to Western Research Institute.



# The investigation begins....

- Samples were provided to Western Research Institute.
  - Cores from flushed areas
  - Cores from non-flushed areas
  - Original PG Binder samples



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation
    - Extracted binder subjected to dynamic shear Rheological (DSR) analysis



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation
    - Extracted binder subjected to dynamic shear Rheological (DSR) analysis
    - Binder analyzed using size-exclusion Chromatography (SEC)



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide ( $\text{CS}_2$ ) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation
    - Extracted binder subjected to dynamic shear Rheological (DSR) analysis
    - Binder analyzed using size-exclusion Chromatography (SEC)
    - Binder analyzed using Automated Heithaus Titration method



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation
    - Extracted binder subjected to dynamic shear Rheological (DSR) analysis
    - Binder analyzed using size-exclusion Chromatography (SEC)
    - Binder analyzed using Automated Heithaus Titration method
    - Binder analyzed by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)



# Review of Findings

- Western Research Institute
  - Methods Used to Investigate Samples.....
    - Extracted binder from top & bottom  $\frac{3}{4}$ " of cores using toluene/95% ethanol (85/15)
    - Extracted binder with carbon disulfide (CS<sub>2</sub>) for gas chromatographic simulated distillation
    - Extracted binder subjected to dynamic shear Rheological (DSR) analysis
    - Binder analyzed using size-exclusion Chromatography (SEC)
    - Binder analyzed using Automated Heithaus Titration method
    - Binder analyzed by Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)
    - Binder viewed by Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope



# Conclusions



# Conclusions

- Binder content of “flushed core” was 6.99% in the top and 5.03% in the bottom.



# Conclusions

- Binder content of “flushed core” was 6.99% in the top and 5.03% in the bottom.
- There was “lower boiling” material in extracted core vs. original binder.



# Conclusions

- Binder content of “flushed core” was 6.99% in the top and 5.03% in the bottom.
- There was “lower boiling” material in extracted core vs. original binder.
- Binder in top of flushed core is softer than the original binder below 86°F.



# Conclusions

- Binder content of “flushed core” was 6.99% in the top and 5.03% in the bottom.
- There was “lower boiling” material in extracted core vs. original binder.
- Binder in top of flushed core is softer than the original binder below 86°F.
- More small molecular size material in top of flushed core than in original binder.



# Conclusions (Cont.)

- The “peptizing” power of the maltenes was very low ( $<1$ ) implying asphalts are not very compatible (stability problem?).



# Conclusions (Cont.)

- The “peptizing” power of the maltenes was very low ( $<1$ ) implying asphalts are not very compatible (stability problem?).
- Lower molecular weight material is interfering in the crystallization of the wax material present in the original binder.



# Conclusions (Cont.)

- The “peptizing” power of the maltenes was very low ( $<1$ ) implying asphalts are not very compatible (stability problem?).
- Lower molecular weight material is interfering in the crystallization of the wax material present in the original binder.
- There is an indication of the presence of Carbon Black, possibly crumb rubber?



# In Summary

“The results do not find any conclusive evidence as to the cause of the asphalt problem on Highway 43 but the analyses show some very unusual chemical properties of the asphalt that most likely contribute to the problem. We (WRI) have become aware of some other states that have experienced similar problems at about the same time.”



# Adhesion or Cohesion Problem?

Not your usual problem!



# Special Thanks



# Special Thanks

- Michael Harnsberger
  - Lead Scientist – Western Research Institute



# Special Thanks

- Michael Harnsberger
  - Lead Scientist – Western Research Institute
- John Dageforde – Nebraska Department of Roads, Bituminous Laboratory.



# Special Thanks

- Michael Harnsberger
  - Lead Scientist – Western Research Institute
- John Dageforde – Nebraska Department of Roads, Bituminous Laboratory
- Bob Traudt – Project Manager, Nebraska Department of Roads



# Questions?

